

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
AT DANVILLE, VA
FILED

NOV 28 2011

BY: JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
DEPUTY CLERK

United States of America)

v.)

RYAN O'NEAL PRESTON)

Case No: 4:07CR00007-001

USM No: 13044-084

Date of Previous Judgment: 04/17/2008)

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The offense level and resulting custody range applicable to Defendant were produced by the career offender guideline, which is unaffected by Amendment 750. Defendant's Motion [ECF No. 76] is, therefore, denied.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 04/17/2008 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: _____

11-28-11

Effective Date: _____

(if different from order date)

Jackson D. Keen
Judge's signature

Senior United States District Judge

Printed name and title